IN THE SUPREME APPELLATE COURT GILGIT-BALTISTAN, GILGIT.

Before:

Mr. Justice Rana Muhammad Shamim, Chief Judge. Mr. Justice Javed Iqbal, Judge.

C. Misc. No 89/2016 in

CPLA. No. 45/2011.

1. Abdul Ghafoor & 17 others

Petitioners.

Versus

1. Registrar Chief Court & others

PRESENT:-

- 1. Malik Shafqat Wali senior Advocate alongwith Mr. Amjad Hussain Advocate for the petitioners.
- 2. The Advocate General alongwith Mr. Muhammad Hussain Shehzad Advocate, Mr. Rai Muhammad Nawaz Kharal Advocate and Johar Ali Khan Advocateon-Record on behalf of the respondents.

DATE OF HEARING:- 29.09.2016.

ORDER.

Dr. Rana Muhammad Shamim, CJ..... Without touching the merits of the case, as agreed by both the respective parties the Impugned judgment dated 14.09.2011 passed in Writ Petition No. 16/2007 by the learned Chief Court is set aside and the matter is remanded back to the Hon'ble Chief Judge Gilgit-Baltistan Chief Court in order to constitute an **"Inquiry Committee"** for de novo considerations of termination cases of the petitioners.. The petitioners be given full opportunities to defend their claims. The Inquiry Committee will initiate proceedings from the stage of receiving the replies of Show Cause Notices from the petitioners who is required to hear & decide the cases after hearing the petitioners and completing all the **"Codal Formalities"** in

Respondents.

accordance with law. No limitation will run in these matters. The petitioners, however, if aggrieved by any of the Orders may seek remedies available to them within stipulated time in any competent forum in accordance with law, if they so advised.

3. The case is disposed off in above terms.

Chief Judge.

Judge.

Whether the case is fit to be reported or not?